

**USE AND ACCURACY OF –ING FORM CONSTRUCTIONS BY
INDONESIA LEARNERS AS RECONSIDERED IN THE USAGE
FREQUENCY OF CORPUS LINGUISTICS**



Written By:

M. FAHRUDDIN AZIZ

16706251024

Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the requirements for the
Attainment of the Magister of Education Degree

APPLIED LINGUISTICS DEPARTMENT

GRADUATE SCHOOL

YOGYAKARTA STATE UNIVERSITY

2018

ABSTRAK

M. FAHRUDDIN AZIZ MT: Use and accuracy of *-ing* form constructions composed by Indonesia learners as reconsidered in the usage frequency of corpus linguistics. Thesis. Yogyakarta: Graduate School, Yogyakarta State University, 2018.

Karya thesis ini bertujuan untuk memberikan laporan mengenai kesesuaian antara tingkat pemakaian fitur kebahasaan dalam korpus dengan konstruksi bentuk-bentuk struktur *-ing form* yang digunakan pembelajar Indonesia; baik itu melihat kecenderungan siswa dalam mengkonstruksi, keakuratan konstruksi, dan miskonsepsi yang dipersepsi ketika mengkonstruksi.

Jumlah responden dalam penelitian ini yakni 100 mahasiswa/mahasiswi yang kemudian dikelompokkan menjadi 4 kelompok. Masing-masing kelompok terdiri dari 25 mahasiswa/mahasiswi (yakni kelompok semester 1, 3, 5, dan 7). Struktur *-ing form* dipilih sebagai elemen kebahasaan dalam penelitian ini. Berdasarkan kriteria frekuensi yang berbeda, 5 kata kerja yang memungkinkan konstruksi struktur *-ing form* dipilih untuk kemudian dikonstruksi oleh siswa menjadi 2 atau 4 konstruksi. Data tersebut kemudian diperoleh melalui tulisan siswa yang sebelumnya diberikan tes menulis. Konstruksi kalimat oleh siswa kemudian dianalisis berdasarkan analisis kesalahan untuk menentukan konstruksi yang benar atau salah yang dibuat siswa. Keakuratan dan pilihan siswa dalam mengkonstruksi struktur *-ing form* kemudian dihitung menggunakan statistik deskriptif.

Hasil temuan menegaskan bahwa frekuensi pemakaian fitur kebahasaan tertentu yang ditemukan di korpus sesuai dengan kecenderungan atau pilihan siswa dalam menggunakan *-ing form* dalam konstruksinya, meskipun demikian keakuratan konstruksi tampak rendah sebagaimana ditemukan pada pembelajar dengan semester lebih rendah, selain itu, pembelajar bahasa masih tampak sulit dalam mengkonstruksi struktur *-ing form* dimana beberapa kesalahan masih diproduksi diantaranya; *incomplete application of rules*, *ignorance of rule restriction*, dan *false-concept hypothesized*.

Keywords: Skema konstruksi, *Miskonsepsi*, *Struktur-ing form*, *Jenis-jenis frekuensi*, *Teori Usage-based*

ABSTRACT

M. FAHRUDDIN AZIZ MT:Use and accuracy of *-ing* form constructions composed by Indonesia learners as reconsidered in the usage frequency of corpus linguistics..Thesis. Yogyakarta: Graduate School, Yogyakarta State University, 2018.

This paper attempted to report the correspondence between the usage frequency of particular linguistic feature found in corpus linguistics and constructions of *-ing* form composed by Indonesia learners; the tendency in constructing *-ing* form, the accuracy of construction, and misconceptions perceived in constructing *-ing* forms.

The total participants were 100 students that were divided into 4 groups (1st semester, 3rd semester, 5th semester, and 7th semester). The group respectively comprised 25 students. The *-ing* form construction was selected as the main English linguistic element of the research. In the term of different frequency criteria, 5 matrix verbs that allowed particular *-ing* form structure were selected and composed into 2 or 4 various constructions. The data were then collected by using writing test. Students' constructions were analyzed based on error analysis to determine the count of correction. The accuracy and students preference were then counted using descriptive statistics.

The finding confirmed that higher frequency of *-ing* form usage occurred in corpus linguistics corresponded with students' constructional schemas or preference. Nonetheless the higher frequency of particular *-ing* form usage was frequently occurred students' constructions were not so accurate mainly for low-level students or the group with lower semester. Numerous errors found in the construction; incomplete application of rules, ignorance of rule restriction, false-concept hypothesized.

Keywords: *Constructional schema, Misconceptions, -ing form structure, Types of frequency, Usage-based theory*

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

The undersigned below:

Name : M. Fahrudin Aziz MT
NIM : 16706251024
Major : Linguistik Terapan – S2
Faculty : Graduate School Program
Thesis Title : Use and accuracy of *-ing* form constructions by
Indonesia Learners As Reconsidered In The
Usage Frequency of Corpus Linguistics

Declares consciously that this thesis is true result of my own work and thought with no published contents and works, except certain parts taken as references and therefore have been stated in the text along with the citation sources.

Yogyakarta, January 10th 2019



M. Fahrudin Aziz MT
NIM . 16706251024


APPROVAL SHEET

USE AND ACCURACY OF -ING FORM CONSTRUCTIONS BY INDONESIA LEARNERS AS RECONSIDERED FROM THE USAGE FREQUENCY OF CORPUS LINGUISTICS

M. FAHRUDDIN AZIZ MT
NIM. 16706251024

This thesis is submitted to fulfill the requirement
for the degree of master of education in applied linguistics


Approved for The Thesis Defense
Supervisor,



Prof. Dr. Pratomo Widodo, M. Pd.
NIP. 19610930 198703 1 004


Graduate School
Yogyakarta State University

Director,



Prof. Dr. Marsigit, M.A.
NIP. 19570719 198303 1 004

Head Of Department,



Prof. Dr. Pratomo Widodo, M. Pd.
NIP. 19610930 198703 1 004

RATIFICATION SHEET

USE AND ACCURACY OF -ING FORM CONSTRUCTIONS BY INDONESIA LEARNERS AS RECONSIDERED IN THE USAGE FREQUENCY OF CORPUS LINGUISTICS

M. FAHRUDDIN AZIZ MT
16706251024

Accepted by the board of examiners of Graduate School,
Yogyakarta State University, in November 2018, and declared to have fulfilled the
requirement for attainment of The Master of Education in Applied Linguistic

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Dr. Widyastuti Purbani, M. A.
(Chairperson/Examiner)

W. Purbani
14/1 - 2019

Erna Andriyanti, Ph. D
(Secretary/Examiner)

Erna Andriyanti
14/1/2019

Prof. Dr. Pratomo Widodo, M. Pd
(Supervisor/Examiner)

Pratomo Widodo
14/1 - 2019

Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro,
Ph.D
(Main examiner)

Nur Hidayanto
14/1, 2019

Yogyakarta, *16-1-2019*

Graduate School

Yogyakarta State University

Director,



Prof. Dr. Marsigit, M.A.
NIP. 19570719 198303 1 004

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would first like to thank my thesis advisor Prof. PratomoWidodo, M. Pd of the Dean of Applied Linguistic Department at Yogyakarta State University. The door to Prof. Pratomo was always open whenever i ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. He consistently allowed this paper to be my own work, but steered me in the right direction whenever he thought i needed it.

I would also like to thank all participants who were involved in the validation for this research project. Without their passionate participation and input, the validation could not have been successfully conducted.

I would also like to acknowledge RizkyAnugerah Putra my running mate in the Applied Linguistic Department at UNY as the second reader of this thesis, and I am gratefully indebted to his very valuable comments on this thesis.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my partner and family for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

Yogyakarta, January 1st 2018

M. Fahrudin Aziz MT
Applied Linguistic Department
Yogyakarta State University

MOTTO

وَمَنْ جَاهَدَ فَإِنَّمَا يُجَاهِدُ لِنَفْسِهِ

Artinya, "*Barangsiapa yang bersungguhsungguh,
sesungguhnyaakesungguhantersebutuntukkebaikandirinyasendiri*"
(Qs. Al-Ankabut, 29: 6)

DEDICATION

This book is dedicated to:

- *my beloved mom and dad (M. Taslim Aziz and Roziyah), for their kindness and devotion, and for their endless support.*
- *my good reason, (HerlandriEkaJayaputri), thank lots, i love you.*
- *all my family*
- *all my running mates of applied linguistic*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table	Page
COVER	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	iv
APPROVAL SHEET	v
RATIFICATION SHEET	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	vii
MOTTO	viii
DEDICATION	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
 CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION.....	 1
A. Background.....	1
B. Identification of The Problem	7
C. Scope And Problem Formulation	7
D. The Objectives of The Study	8
E. Significances of The Study	8
 CHAPTER II. THEORETICAL REVIEW.....	 9
A. Second Language Acquisition	9
1. The usage-Based Theory	12
a. Constructional Schemas	13
b. Types of frequency	15
B. ESL writing instruction	16
C. Language Misconception	16
1. EA Analysis theory	17
D. English Linguistic Features	18
1. English Morphology	20
2. English Syntax	22
E. Review of The Related Study	26
F. Conceptual Framework	28
G. Hypotheses	29

CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHOD.....	30
A. Research Design	30
B. Population And Sample	31
C. Data Sources	31
D. Data Collection Technique	31
1. Quantitative Collection Technique	31
2. Qualitative Collection Technique	33
E. Research Instrument	34
1. The Production of Research Instrument	34
2. Scoring Criteria For The Writing Test	36
F. Data Analysis	35
1. Quantitative Data Analysis	37
2. Qualitative Data Analysis	37
CHAPTER IV. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	39
A. Results.....	39
1. Data Illustration of Students' Tendency inUsing- <i>ing</i> Form	39
2. Data Illustration of Students' Scores	44
3. Common deviant constructions	48
B. Discussion	49
1. What - <i>ing</i> forms are students more likely to use in the construction?.....	49
2. How accurate can students construct - <i>ing</i> forms?	54
3. What types of misconceptions are composed by Indonesia L2 learners in the constructions of - <i>ing</i> form?	60
CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND SUGGESTION.....	70
A. Conclusion	70
B. Implication	71
C. Suggestion	71
REFERENCES	Xiv
APPENDICES	xv
1. - <i>ing</i> Form Data	72
2. Erroneous Data of - <i>ing</i> Form	81
3. Misconception Categories	85
4. Students' tendency in composing - <i>ing</i> form	90
5. Interview Transcription	99

LIST OF TABLES

List		Page
1	Derivational Suffixes in English	20
2	Inflectional Suffixes in English	21
3	Suffix <i>-ing</i> in English	22
4	The Table Design for <i>-ing</i> Form frequency Used by Students.....	32
5	The Table Design for Student' scores	32
6	The Table Design for Students' Tendency in Using <i>-ing</i> Form	33
7	The Table Design For Students' Accuracy in Composing <i>-ing</i> form.	34
8	The Table Design for Types of Misconceptions in The Construction of <i>-ing</i> Form	34
9	Students' Perception	34
10	Frequency of <i>-ing</i> Form Construction in BNC Corpus.....	35
11	Scoring Criteria For Students' Tendency in Composing <i>-ing</i> Form...	36
12	Scoring Criteria For Accuracy in Composing <i>-ing</i> Form Structure	36
13	The Total Count of <i>-ing</i> Form Frequency Used by Students	39
14	Students' Tendency in Using <i>-ing</i> Form	41
15	Students' Scores	44
16	Students' Accuracy in Composing <i>-ing</i> form	45
17	Types of Misconception in The Construction of <i>-ing</i> Form	47
18	Students' Perception	48

LIST OF FIGURES

List		Page
1	Students' Tendency in The <i>-ing</i> Form Constructions	42
2	Students' Scores of Correct Construction	46