

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adam R. J. & Khoo, S. T. (1996). *Quest: The interactive test analysis system version 2.1*. Victoria: The Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Adodo, S. O. (2013). Effects of two-tier multiple choice diagnostic assessment items on students' learning outcome in basic science technology (BST). *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 2 (2), 201-210
- Akbar, S. (2013). *Instrumen Perangkat Pembelajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya Offset.
- Alkin, M. C. (2011). *Essential evaluation*. (p.10). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Alurbaie, F. & Daniel, E. G. S. (2014). Developing a Creative Thinking Test for Iraqi Physics Student. *International Journal of Mathematics and Physical Sciences Research* ISSN 2348-5736
- Arachchi, S. M., Dias, K., Madanayake, R. S., Siong, E., Chong, C., & Gunawardana, K. D. (2014). A Comparison Between Evaluation of Computer Based Testing and Paper Based Testing for Subjects in Computer, 5(1), 57–72.
- Arikunto, S., (2006). *Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. (p.276). Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Aybek, E. C., & Demirtasli, R. N. (2017). Computerized Adaptive Test (CAT) Applications and Item Response Theory Models for Polytomous Items. <https://doi.org/10.21890/ijres.327907>.
- Azwar, S. 2010. *Metode Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Butterick, Keith. 2012. *Pengantar Public Relations Teori dan Praktik*. Jakarta
- _____ (2010). *Penyusunan Skala Psikologi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Bishara, S. (2016). Creativity in unique problem-solving in mathematics and its influence on motivation for learning. *Cogent Education*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2016.1202604>.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to Assess Higher Order Thinking Skillss in Your Class-room*. Alexandria: ASCD.
- Buerger, S., Kroehne, U., & Goldhammer, F. (2016). The transition to computer-based testing in large-scale assessments: Investigating (partial) measurement invariance between modes. *Psychological test and*

assessment modeling, volume 58. p. 597-616.

- Busyairi, A., & Sinaga, P. (2015). Profil Keterampilan Pemecahan Masalah Secara Kreatif Siswa Sma pada Pokok Bahasan Listrik Dinamis SNF2015-IV-23 SNF2015-IV-24, IV, 23–28.
- Butler, A. C. & Roediger, Henry L. (2008). *Feedback Enhances the Positive Effects and Reduces the Negative Effects of Multiple-choice Testing*. *Memory & Cognition*, 36 (3), 604-616.
- Chua, Y. P., (2011). Comparisons Between Computer-Based Testing And Paper-Pencil Testing: Testing Effect, Test Scores, Testing Time And Testing Motivation.
- Corazza, G., Agnoli, S.. 2016. *Multidisciplinary Contributions to the Science of Creative Thinking*. Berlin: Springer
- Daly, S. R., Mosyjowski, E. A., Oprea, S. L., Huang-saad, A., & Seifert, C. M. (2016). College students ' views of creative process instruction across disciplines. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 22, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2016.07.002/>
- Daud, A. M., Omar, J., Turiman, P., & Osman, K. (2012). Creativity in Science Education, 59, 467–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.302>
- Diakidoy, I. A. & Constantinou, C. P. (2001). Creativity in Physics: Response Fluency and Task Specificity. *Creativity Research Journal*. DOI: 10.1207/S15326934CRJ1334_17
- Eshun, E., & Amoako-Agyeman, K., (2016). Measuring creativity with divergent thinking tasks: Communication design students' experience. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*. 2. 122-155.
- Etkina, et al.(2006). *Scientific Abilities and Their Assesment* Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research. 2,020103.
- Finkleman., M. D., Kim, W., Weissman, A., & Cook, R. J. (2014). Cognitive Diagnostic Models and Computerized Adaptive Testing: Two New Item-Selection Methods That Incorporate Response Times , 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.7333/1412-0204059>
- Ghaderi, M., Mogholi, M., Soori, A. (2014). Comparing Between Computer based Tests and Paper-and-Pencil based Tests, 2(4), 2005–2007. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.2n.4p.36>

- Hambleton, R.K & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item response theory: Principles and Application*. Boston, MA: Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing
- Hamiti, Mentor & Reka (2015). The Impact of Computer Components in Enhancing the Quality of Teaching and Learning Process in Universities. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 191. 2422-2426. 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.564.
- Hamzah, A. (2014). *Evaluasi Pembelajaran Matematika*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- Haryanto, 2011. Pengembangan Computerized Adaptive Testing (CAT) dengan Algoritma Logika Fuzzy. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Ealuasi Pendidikan*, 15(1):47- 70.
- Hobri.2010. *Metodologi Penelitian Pengembangan (Aplikasi Pada penelitian Pendidikan Matematika)*. Jember: Pena Salsabila
- Hsu CL., Zhao Y., Wang WC. (2012) Exploiting Computerized Adaptive Testing for Self-Directed Learning. In: Mok M. (eds) *Self-directed Learning Oriented Assessments in the Asia-Pacific*. Education in the Asia-Pacific Region: Issues, Concerns and Prospects, vol 18. Springer, Dordrecht
- Huang, H.-Y. (2018). Effects of Item Calibration Errors on Computerized Adaptive Testing under Cognitive Diagnosis Models. *Journal of Classification*. doi:10.1007/s00357-018-9265-y
- Istiyono, E., Dwandaru, W. B., & Rahayu, F. (2018). Pengembangan Tes Creative Thinking Skills Fisika Sma (PhysCreTHOTS) Berdasarkan Teori Tes Modern. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 2, 190-192. Retrieved June 22, 2019.
- Istiyono, E., Mardapi, D. & Suparno. (2013). Pengembangan Tes Kemampuan Berpikir Tingkat Tinggi Fisika (PhysTHOTS) Peserta Didik SMA. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, Vol. 14, No.1, p: 1-12.
- Istiyono, E. (2014). Effectiveness of Reasoned Objective Choice Test to Measure Higher Order Thinking Skills in Physics Implementing of Curriculum 2013. *Conference Proceedings of International Conference on Educational Research and Evaluation (ICERE)*. Program Pascasarjana UNY. Yogyakarta.
- . (2015). Developing a Physics Diagnostic Test for University Students's Higher Order Thinking Skills. *Conference Proceedings of International Seminar Science Education (ISSE)*. Pascasarjana UNY. Yogyakarta.

- . (2016). The Application Of GPCM On MMC Test As A Fair Alternative Assessment Model In Physics Learning. *Conference Proceedings of International Conference on Research, Implementation and Education of Mathematics and Sciences (ICRIEMS)*. Yogyakarta.
- . (2019). Computer Adaptive Test as Appropriate Model to Assess Physics Achievement in 21st Century. *Conference Proceeding of 1st International Conference of Innovation in Education*. Vol. 178, p:304-305.
- Jakob B.B., & Chih-Hung, C. (2007). Developing tailored instruments: item banking and computerized adaptive assessment. *Qual Life Research*, 16, 95–108.
- Joy, S., & Kimberly, B. (2012). Innovation Motivation, Divergent Thinking, and Creative Story Writing: Convergence and Divergence across the Torrance Tests and TAT. *Imagination Cognition and Personality*. 32. 179-195. 10.2190/IC.32.2.f.
- Kabil, O. (2015). Philosophy in Physics Education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197(February), 675–679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.057>
- Kamaruddin & Haryanto, 2014. Pengembangan Sistem Penilaian Hasil Belajar Mata Pelajaran Menganalisis Rangkaian Listrik Berbasis Computerized Adaptive Testing. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 4(1): 28-42.
- Kantrowitz, T.M., Dawson, C.R., & Fetzer M.S. (2011). Computer adaptive testing (CAT): A faster, smarter and more secure approach to pre-employment testing [Versi elektronik]. *Journal of Business Psychology*, 26:227-232.
- Karwowski, M. (2015). Development of the Creative Self-Concept *, 2(2), 165–179. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ctra-2015-0019>
- Kemendikbud. (2015). *Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia nomor 5 tahun 2015 tentang kriteria kelulusan peserta didik, penyelenggaraan ujian nasional, dan penyelenggaraan ujian sekolah/madrasah/pendidikan kesetaraan pada smp/mts atau yang sederajat dan sma/ma/smk atau yang sederajat*. Jakarta: Kemendikbud <http://luk.staff.ugm.ac.id/atur/bsnp/un/2015/Permendikbud5-2015KriteriaKelulusanPesertaDidikUN.pdf> (diakses tanggal 21 November 2016)
- Khoshsima, H., Hosseini, M., Morteza, S., & Toroujeni, H. (2017). Cross-Mode Comparability of Computer-Based Testing (CBT) Versus Paper-Pencil Based Testing (PPT): An Investigation of Testing Administration Mode among Iranian Intermediate EFL Learners, 10(2), 23–32.

<https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n2p23>

- Kusuma, M. D., & Rosidin. (2017), U. The Development of Higher Order Thinking Skill (Hots) Instrument Assessment In Physics Study. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education* Volume 7, Issue 1 Ver. V e-ISSN: 2320–7388, p-ISSN: 2320–737X Volume 7, Issue 1 Ver. V
- Larson, J.W., & Madsen, H.S. (2011). Computerized adaptive language testing: Moving beyond computer-assisted testing [Versi elektronik]. *Calico Journal*, Vol2, 3, 32-34.
- Lew, K., & Cho, J. (2013). Relationship among Creativity, Motivation and Creative Home Environment of Young Children. *Proceedings, The 5th International Conference on Advanced Science and Technology, 20(AST), 2013th ser.*, 106-110.
- Liliawati, M. W. (2011). Pembekalan Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa Sma Melalui Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis Masalah. *Jurnal Pengajaran Matematika Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 16(2), 93. <https://doi.org/10.18269/jpmipa.v16i2.227>
- Ling, G., Attali, Y., Finn, B., & Stone, E. A. (2017). Is a Computerized Adaptive Test More Motivating Than a Fixed-Item Test? <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621617707556>
- Luecht, R. (2016). Computer-Adaptive Testing in *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference* (p.1-10. Hoboken, New Jersey: Wiley. Online 10.1002/9781118445112.stat06405.pub2.
- Magis, D., & Barrada, J. R. (2017). Computerized Adaptive Testing with R: Recent Updates of the Package *catR*, 76(January). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v076.c01>
- Malik, A., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Permanasari, A., Malik, A., Setiawan, A., ... Permanasari, A. (2017). design Enhancing Pre-Service Physics Teachers' Creative Thinking Skills through HOT Lab Design, 70001. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4995177>
- Mardapi, D. (2008). Teknik penyusunan instrumen tes dan nontes [Test and non-test instruments composing techniques]. Yogyakarta: Mitra Cendekia.
- Mardapi, D.. (2012). *Pengukuran Penilaian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Nuha Medika
- Mason, A. J., & Singh, C. (2016). Surveying college introductory physics students attitudes and approaches to problem solving. *European Journal of Physics*,

37(5), 0557041-05570423.

- McCloy, R. A. and Gibby, R. E. (2011). Computerized Adaptive Testing. In Technology-Enhanced Assessment of Talent (eds N. T. Tippins, S. Adler and A. I. Kraut). doi:10.1002/9781118256022.ch5
- Mukhopadhyay, R. (2013). Measurement of Creativity in Physics - A Brief Review on Related Tools, 6(5), 45–50.
- Mulyasa. 2014. Pengembangan dan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya
- Mundilarto. (2010). *Penilaian Hasil Belajar Fisika*. Yogyakarta: Pusat Pengembangan Instruksional Sains (P2IS) Jurdik Fisika FPMIPA UNY.
- Murshidi, G. Al. (2014). The Impact Of Student-Centered Learning Approach Through Workshops Conduction On The Uae University Female Students' Confidence. *European Journal of Business and Management Review*, 2(5), pp.31–43.
- Noubandegani, P. A., & Tehran, T. M. U.-. (2012). Students Perceptions of Computerized TOEFL Test. *Language Testing in Asia* volume two. P 73-74.
- Oriondo, L.L.,& Dallo-Antonio, E.M. (1998). *Evaluation educational outcomes*. Manila: Rex Printing Company, Inc
- Penfield, R. D. (2014). An NCME Instructional Module on Polytomous Item Response Theory Models. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice Spring 2014*, Vol. 33, No. 1, pp. 36–48.
- Piirto, J. (2011). *Creativity for 21st Century Skills: How to Embed Creativity Into the Curriculum*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Purnomo, Y. W. (2013). Keefektifan Penilaian Formatif terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Mahasiswa Ditinjau dari Motivasi belajar. *Sigma Journal* ISSN: 1411 - 5166 No.01, Volume VI, Juni 2014 33
- Purwaningrum, J. P. (2016). Mengembangkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematis Melalui Discovery Learning Berbasis Scientific Approach. *Jurnal Refleksi Edukatika* Vol. 6 No. 2 Juni 2016
- Ramankulov, S., Berkimbaev, K., Bekbayev, S., Abdumanapov, U., Ormanova, G., & Sarybaeva, A. (2015). Formation of Creative Thinking of Future Teachers on Physics by Means of Information Technologies, (Cmes), 492–495.

- Retnawati, H. (2015). The Comparison of Accuracy Scores on the Paper and Pencil Testing vs. Computer- Based Testing, 14(4), 135–142.
- Review, P. (2016). Cracking the GMAT with 2 Computer-Adaptive Practice Tests, 2017 Edition Graduate School Test Preparation, p.18. Retrieved from books.google.co.id
- Rezaie, M. & Golshan, M. (2015). Computer Adaptive Test (CAT): Advantages and Limitations. *International Journal of Educational Investigations Available online @ www.ijeionline.com*. Vol.2, No.5: 128-137, 2015 (May) ISSN: 2410-3446.
- Ridho, A. (2007). Karakteristik psikometrik tes berdasarkan pendekatan teori tes klasik dan teori respon aitem. *Jurnal Psikologi INSAN*, 2(2), 1-27.
- Ritter, S. M., & Mostert, N. (2016). Enhancement of Creative Thinking Skills Using a Cognitive-Based Creativity Training. *Journal of Cognitive Enhancement*. Volume 1, Issue 3, pp 243–253
- Safaruddin, Anisa, & Saleh, A., F. (2012). Partial Credit Model (PCM) dalam penskoran Politomi pada Teori Respon Butir. 9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.20956/jmsk.v9i1.3397>
- Sambada, D. (2012). Peranan Kreativitas Siswa Terhadap Kemampuan Memecahkan Masalah Fisika dalam Pembelajaran kontekstual. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya*, vol.2 (2). p 37-47
- Santyasa, I. W. (2014). *Asesmen dan Evaluasi Pembelajaran Fisika*. Graha Ilmu: Yogyakarta.
- Siew, N.M., (2013). Exploring Primary Science Teachers' Creativity and Attitudes through Responses to Creative Questions in University Physics Lessons. *British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science* 3(1): 93-108, 2013.
- Soland, J, Hamilton, L. S., & Stecher, B. M. (2013). *Measuring 21st Century Competencies*. Rand Corporation.
- Sudijono, A. *Pengantar Evaluasi Pendidikan*, (Jakarta: PT. RajaGrafindo Persada, 2011), h. 32
- Sukiman. 2012. *Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Pedagogia.
- Sumintono, B. & Widhiarso, W. (2013). *Aplikasi Model Rasch untuk Penelitian Ilmu-Ilmu Sosial*. Jakarta: TrimKom.

- Supardi U.S. 2012. Peran Berpikir Kreatif dalam Proses Pembelajaran Matematika. *Formatif*, 2(3): 248-262.
- Sukiman. 2012. *Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Pedagogia.
- Suyatmini. (2017). Implementasi Kurikulum 2013 Pada Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran Akuntansi Di Sekolah Menengah Kejuruan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu Sosial*. Vol27, No.1, Juni 2017, ISSN:1412-3835.
- Tahar V. A., Tej J., & Sirkova M. (2015). Creative management techniques and methods as a part of the management education: analytical study on students' perceptions. Elsevier. Slovakia
- Thompson, N.A. & Weiss, David. (2011). A framework for the development of computerized adaptive tests. *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation*. 16. 1-9.
- Wagensberg, J. *Biol Theory* (2014) 9: 331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13752-014-0166-y>
- Weiss, D. J. (2014). *New Horizon Testing: Latent Trait Test Theory and Computerized Adaptive Testing*. Pg 5. Elsevier: Canada.
- Widhiarso, W. (2010). *Pengembangan Skala Psikologi*. Draft. Universitas Gajah Mada: Tidak diterbitkan.
- Winarno. (2012). Pengembangan Computerized Adaptive Testing (CAT) Menggunakan Metode Pohon Segitiga Keputusan. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 16 (2), 574-592.
- Wise, S. L. (2014). The Utility of Adaptive Testing in Addressing the Problem of Unmotivated Examinees The Utility of Adaptive Testing in Addressing the Problem of Unmotivated Examinees, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.7333/1401-0201001>
- Yan, D., A., V. D., & Lewis, C. (2016). *Computerized Multistage Testing: Theory and Applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC. Retrieved from books.google.co.id
- Zheng, Y. (2016). Online Calibration of Polytomous Items Under the Generalized Partial Credit Model. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621616650406>