

## References

- Bakeman, R., & Gottman, J. M. (1997). *Observing interaction: An introduction to sequential analysis* (2nd ed.). New York, NY, US: Cambridge University Press.
- Beşevli, C., Salman, E., Goksun, T., Urey, H., & Özcan, O. (2019). MaR-T: Designing a Projection-Based Mixed Reality System for Nonsymbolic Math Development of Preschoolers: Guided by Theories of Cognition and Learning. *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (IDC '19)* (pp. 280-292). New York: ACM.
- Booker, G., Swan, P., Bond, D., Sparrow, L., & Swan, P. (2014). *Teaching primary mathematics* (5th ed.). Melbourne: Pearson Australia.
- Brizuela, B. M. (2006). Young Children's Notations for Fractions. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 62(3), 281-305.
- Brooke, J. (1996). SUS: A quick and dirty usability scale. In P. W. Jordan, B. Thomas, A. Weerdmeester, & I. L. McClelland (Ed.). (pp. 189-194). London: Taylor & Francis.
- Cramer, K., Post, T., & DelMas, R. (2002). Initial Fraction Learning by Fourth- and Fifth-Grade Students: A Comparison of the Effects of Using Commercial Curricula with the Effects of Using the Rational Number Project Curriculum. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 33(2), 111-144.
- David, A. B. (2011). Mobile Application Testing: Best Practices to Ensure Quality. *experience success*. amdcs.
- DeBellis, V. A., & Goldin, G. A. (2006). Affect and Meta-Affect in Mathematical Problem Solving: a Representational Perspective. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 63(2), 131-147.
- Empson, S. B. (2003). Low-Performing Students and Teaching Fraction for Understanding: An Interactional Analysis. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 34(4), 305-343.
- Fessakis, G., Karta, P., & Konstantinos, K. (2018). Designing Math Trails for Enhanced by Mobile Learning Realistic Mathematics Education in Primary Education. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 8(2), 49-63.
- Gao, M., Kortum, P., & Frederick, O. (2018). Psychometric Evaluation of the USE (Usefulness, Satisfaction, and Ease of use) Questionnaire for Reliability and Validity. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 62(1), pp. 1414-1418.
- Giardini, E. (2016). Mathematical learning with a purpose. *Journal of Student Engagement: Education Matters*, 6(1), 13-18.
- Goldin, G. A. (2014). *Encyclopedia of Mathematics Education (Mathematical Representation)*. (S. Lerman, Ed.) Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Google LLC. (2019). *Google Maps Education*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from Google Maps: <https://www.google.com/help/maps/education/learn/>

- Hall, S. (1997). *Representation Curricular Representation and Signifying Practices*. London: Sage.
- Hannich, L. (2009). *Why Are Fractions so Important?* International Learning Corporation.
- Hasemann, K. (1981). On difficulties with fractions. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 12(1), 71–87.
- Herrington, J., & Oliver, R. (2000). An instructional design framework for authentic learning environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 48(3), 23–48.
- Hitt, F. (Ed.). (2002). *Representations and Mathematics Visualization*. International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education North American Chapter and Cinvestav-IPN. Mexico.
- Horn, M. B. (2018, May 14). *Why Google Maps—not Netflix or Amazon—Points to the Future of Education*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from EdSurge: <https://www.edsurge.com/news/2018-05-14-why-google-maps-not-netflix-or-amazon-points-to-the-future-of-education>
- Hornbæk, K. (2006). Current practice in measuring usability: Challenges to usability studies and research. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 64, 79–102.
- Hwang, W. Y., Shadiev, R., Tseng, C. W., & Huang, Y. M. (2015). Exploring Effects of Multi-Touch Tabletop on Collaborative Fraction Learning and the Relationship of Learning Behavior and Interaction with Learning Achievement. *Educational*, 18(4), 459–473.
- Hwang, W.-Y., Su, J.-H., Huang, Y.-M., & Dong, J.-J. (2009). A Study of Multi-Representation of Geometry Problem Solving with Virtual Manipulatives and Whiteboard System. *Educational Technology & Society*, 12(3), 229–247.
- Kara, F., & Incikabi, L. (2018). Sixth Grade Students’ Skills of Using Multiple Representations in Addition and Subtraction Operations in Fractions. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 10(4), 463–474.
- Kieren, T. E. (1988). *Personal knowledge of rational numbers: its intuitive and formal development*. In *Number concepts and operations in the middle grades*. (H. J., & B. M., Eds.) Reston VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Kirakowski, J., & Corbett, M. (1993). SUMI: the Software Usability Measurement Inventory. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 24(3), 210–212.
- Koh, N. K., & Low, H. K. (2010). Learning mathematical concepts through authentic learning. *33rd Annual Conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia Incorporated (MERGA 2010) on “Shaping the future of mathematics education”*. Western Australia: Fremantle.
- Kong, S. C., & Kwok, L. F. (2003). A graphical partitioning model for learning common fraction: designing affordances on a web-supported learning environment. *Computers & Education*, 40(2), 137–155.

- Kortum, P. T., & Bangor, A. (2013). Usability Ratings for Everyday Products Measured With the System Usability Scale. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 29(2), 67-76.
- Lam, T. T. (2014). Meaningful Learning Experience for Problem Solving and Mathematics Competition Questions. *Mathematical Medley*, 40(2), 1-10.
- Learning Maths Outside the Classroom*. (2008, April 28). Retrieved June 19, 2019, from National Center for Excellence in the Teaching Mathematics: <https://www.ncetm.org.uk/resources/9268>
- Levenberg, I., & Patkin, D. (2014). Promoting meaningful learning-Studying mathematics with traffic signs. *International Journal of Learning and Development*, 4, 1-8.
- Lewis, J. R. (1995). IBM computer usability satisfaction questionnaires: psychometric evaluation and instructions for use. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 7(1), 57-78.
- Li, H. C. (2006). A comparative analysis of Taiwanese and English students' conceptual and procedural knowledge of fractions at ages 12 and 13. Cambridge, University of Cambridge.
- Lortie-Forgues, H., Tian, J., & Siegler, R. S. (2015). Why is learning fraction and decimal arithmetic so difficult? *Developmental Review*, 38, 201-221.
- Lund, A. M. (2001). Measuring usability with the USE questionnaire. *Usability Interface*, 8(2), 3-6.
- Lund, A. M. (2001). *USE Questionnaire: Usefulness, Satisfaction, and Ease of use*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from USE Questionnaire: Usefulness, Satisfaction, and Ease of use: <https://garyperlman.com/quest/quest.cgi?form=USE>
- Lutes, K. D., Chang, K., & Baggili, I. M. (2006). Diabetic e-Management System (DEMS). *Third International Conference on Information Technology: New Generations (ITNG'06)*. IEEE.
- Marichal, S., Rosales, A., Sansone, G., Pires, C., Bakala, B., Perilli, F. G., & Blat, J. (2017). CETA: open, affordable and portable mixed-reality environment for low-cost tablets. *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services (MobileHCI '17)*. New York: ACM.
- Maxwell, R. (2015, April 20). *Using Google Earth to Teach Math*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from Geolounge: <https://www.geolounge.com/using-google-earth-to-teach-math/>
- (2014). *MINISTRY OF EDUCATION IN TAIWAN*. MINISTRY OF EDUCATION IN TAIWAN.
- Nakahara, T. (2007). Cultivating Mathematical Thinking through Representation: Utilizing the Representational System. Retrieved from [http://www.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/apec/apec2008/papers/PDF/1.Keynote\(Dec.9\)\\_Tadao\\_Nakahara\\_Japan.pdf](http://www.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/apec/apec2008/papers/PDF/1.Keynote(Dec.9)_Tadao_Nakahara_Japan.pdf)

- Ni, Y. J. (2001). Semantic domains of rational numbers and the acquisition of fraction equivalence. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 26, 400–417.
- Novak, J. D. (1994). A view on the current status of Ausubel's assimilation theory of learning. *CADMO: Giornale Italiano di Pedagogia, Sperimentale, Didattica, Docimologia. Tecnologia dell'instruzione*, 2(4), 7-23.
- Ofsted. (2008). *Learning outside classroom*. London: Alexandra House.
- OpenCV. (2019). *About*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from OpenCV: <https://opencv.org/about/>
- Panko, R. (2018, July 10). *The Popularity of Google Maps: Trends in Navigation Apps in 2018*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from THE MANIFEST: <https://themanifest.com/app-development/popularity-google-maps-trends-navigation-apps-2018>
- Pearce, S. (2016). *e-teaching:: management strategies for the classroom; Authentic Learning: what, why, how?* Retrieved 07 19, 2019, from ACEL: [http://www.acel.org.au/acel/ACEL\\_docs/Publications/e-Teaching/2016/e-Teaching\\_2016\\_10.pdf](http://www.acel.org.au/acel/ACEL_docs/Publications/e-Teaching/2016/e-Teaching_2016_10.pdf)
- Pohl, M., Wallner, G., & Kriglstein, S. (2016). Using lag-sequential analysis for understanding interaction sequences in visualizations. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 96, Pages 54-66.
- Purdue University. (n.d.). *Meaningful Learning*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from Meaningful Learning: <https://web.ics.purdue.edu/~rallrich/learn/mean.html>
- Reddy, L., & Bruyns, J. (2016). THE EFFECT OF AN AUTHENTIC LEARNING ACTIVITY ON THE PERFORMANCE AND GRATIFICATION OF FIRST-YEAR ENGINEERING STUDENTS IN MATHEMATICS. (pp. 36-47). SemanticScholar.
- Relajo, D. (2019, October 9). *5 Ways To Make Learning More Meaningful To Students*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from American Psychological Associations: PSYCH LEARNING CURVE: <https://psychlearningcurve.org/learning-more-meaningful/>
- Relajo-Howell, D. (2017, October 9). *5 Ways To Make Learning More Meaningful To Students*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from American Psychological Association;s: PSYCH LEARNING CURVE: <http://psychlearningcurve.org/learning-more-meaningful/>
- Reys, R. E., & Yang, D. C. (1998). Relationship between computational performance and number sense among sixth- and eighth-grade students in Taiwan. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 29(2), 225-237.
- Siegler, R. S., Duncan, G. J., Davis-Kean, P. E., Duckworth, K., Claessens, A., Engel, M., . . . Meichu, C. (2012). Early Predictors of High School. *Psychological Science*, 23(7), 691–697.
- Siegler, R. S., Fazio, L. K., Bailey, D. H., & Zhou, X. (2013). Fractions: The new frontier for theories of numerical development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 17(1), 13-19.
- Siegler, R., & Braithwaite, D. W. (2017). Numerical Development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 68, 187-213.

- Spiro, R. J., Feltovich, P. J., Jacobson, M. J., & Coulson, R. L. (1992). Cognitive flexibility, constructivism, and hypertext: Random access instruction for advanced knowledge acquisition in ill-structured domains. (T. M. Duffy, & D. H. Jonassen, Eds.) *Constructivism and the technology of instruction: A conversation*, 57-75.
- Suh, J. M., & Moyer, P. S. (2008). Scaffolding special needs students' learning of fraction equivalence using virtual manipulatives. *Proceedings of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, 4, pp. 297-304.
- Taylor, J. (2015, January 22). What is Authentic Context. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from <https://prezi.com/hgc6v6bhuidn/what-is-authentic-context/>
- TechTarget. (2013). *Google Maps*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from WhatIs.com: <https://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/Google-Maps>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2008). *NATIONAL MATHEMATICS ADVISORY PANEL*. U.S. Department of Education.
- Van de Walle, S. (2008). Comparing the performance of national public sectors: conceptual problems. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 57(4), 329-338.
- Van, W., & Folk, S. (2008). *Elementary and middle school mathematics: Teaching developmentally*. Toronto: Pearson.
- Verschaffel, L., Van Dooren, W., & De Smedt, B. (2012). *Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning*. (N. M. Seel, Ed.) Boston, MA: Springer.
- Widhitama, Y. N., Lukito, A., & Khabibah, S. (2008). Problem Solving-based Learning Materials on Fraction for Training Creativity of Elementary School Students. *Journal of Physic: Conference Series*.