

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Achieve Inc. 2013. Next generation science standards.
www.nextgenscience.org/next-generation-science-standards.
- Arifin, Z. 2011. Penelitian Pendidikan: Metode dan Paradigma Baru. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Atkin, J.M., & Coffey, J.E. (2003). *Everyday Assessment in the Science Classroom*. Virginia: NSTA.
- Azwar, Saifuddin.(2012). *Reliabilitas dan validitas*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Banilower, E.R, Heck D., & Weiss, I. (2007). Can professional development make the vision of standards a reality? The impact of the national science Foundation's local systemic change through teacher enhancement initiative. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 44(3), 375-395
- Barakos, L., Lujan V., Strang, C. (2012). Science, technology, engineering, mathematics (STEM): Catalyzing change amid the confusion. Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, center on instruction.
- Berland, L. K & Hammer, D (2012). Framing for scientific argumentation. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49(1), 68-94
- Bland, M. (2015). *An introduction to medical statistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bogdan, R. C. & Biklen, S. K. (2003). *Qualitative research for education: an introduction to theory and methods*. Boston: Ally and Bacon, Inc.

- Brown, H. Douglas. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ.: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Buck Institute for Education. (2013). PBL and common core – and Next Generation Science Standard. Excerpted from the book PBL for 21st century success: Teaching Critical Thinking, Collaboration Communication, and Creativity.
- Bybee, R. W. (2010). K-12 engineering education standards: Opportunities and barriers. In National Research Council (Ed.), *Standards for K-12 engineering education?* (pp. 55±66). Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Bybee, Rodger W. 2013. *Translating the NGSS for Classroom Instruction*. Washington, DC: National Science Teachers Association.
- Bybee, Rodger W. dan Fuchs, B. 2006. Preparing the 21st Century Workforce: A New Reform in Science and Technology Education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43, 349-352.
- Choi, Kyunghye, et al. 2011. Re-Conceptualization of Scientific Literacy in South Korea for the 21st Century. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48, 670-697.
- Cohen. D.K. (2011). *Teaching and Predicaments*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L. 2006. Constructing 21st-Century Teacher Education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 57, 1-15.
- Davis, E. A. 2014. Designing Educative Curriculum Materials: A Theoretically and Empirically Driven Process. *Harvard Educational Review*, 84, 24-52.

- Davis, K. S. (2003). "Change is hard": What science teachers are telling us about reform and teacher learning of innovative practices. *Science Education*, 87(1)
- DeBoer, G. E. 2000. Scientific Literacy: Another Look at Its Historical and Contemporary Meanings and Its Relationship to Science Education Reform. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37, 582-601.
- Ford, Michael J. 2015. Educational Implications of Choosing "Practice" to Describe Science in the Next Generation Science Standards. *Science Education*, 99, 1041-1048.
- Furtak, E. M, Shavelson, R.J., Shemwell, J.T & Figuerora, M. 2012. To Teach or not to teach through inquiry: Is that the question? Dalam S.M Carver & J . Shrager (Eds). *The Journey from Child to Scientist: Integrating Cognitive Development and the education Science* (pp. 227-244). Washington DC: American Pyschological Association.
- Generaux, S. L. 2014. The Effects of The 5E Learning Cycle on Student Integration of Science Vocabulary. Tesis master, tidak diterbitkan, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana.
- Good Country. 2010. Good Counry Index: Science and Technology. Diakses pada tanggal 23 November 2015: <http://www.goodcountry.org/category/science-technology>.
- Hakuta, Kenji., Maria Santos, & Zhihui Fang. 2013. Challenges and Opportunities for Language Learning in the Context of the CCSS and the NGSS *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy* 56(6) March 2013 doi :10.1002/JAAL.164 © 2013 International Reading Association (pp. 451–454)

- Hanafiah, dan Suhana. 2009. *Konsep Strategi Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Refika Aditama.
- Hardini, . & Dewi Pupitasari. (2012). Strategi Pembelajaran Terpadu Teori. Familia Group Relasi Inti
- Heitin, Liana. (2015). *Districts Out Ahead of States in Adopting Science Standards*. Published in Print as Science Standards Pop Up in Districts May 6, 2015. Diakses melalui <http://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2015/05/06/districts-out-ahead-of-states-in-adopting.html>
- Hesse, Friedrich, et al. 2015. A Framework for Teachable Collaborative Problem Solving Skills. Springer Journal,
- Hogan, K., & Berkowitz, A. R. (2000). Teachers as inquiry learners. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 11(1), 1-25. doi: 10.1023/A:1009468730080
- Janson, L. & Nordgaard, J. (2016). *The psychiatric interview for differential diagnosis*. Switerland: Springer.
- Koehler, C.M., Bloom, M. A., & Binns, I.C. (2013). Lights, camera, action! Developing amethodology to document mainstream ®lms' portrayal of nature of science and scienti®c inquiry. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 17(2), 1±21.
- Kolodner, Janet L. (2002). Learning by Design: Iterations of Design Challenges for Better Learning of Science Skills. *Journal of Cognitive Studies*, 9(3), 338-350, (Sep. 2002).
- Krajcik, J., et al. 2014. Planning Instruction to Meet the Intent of the Next Generation Science Standards. *Journal Sci Teacher Educ*, 25, 157–175.

- Kyle, William C. 1999. Science Education in Developing Countries: Challenging First World Hegemony in a Global Context. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36, 255–260.
- Land, Susan M., & Greene, Barbara A. (2000). Project-based Learning with the World Wide Web: A Qualitative Study of Resource Integration. *ETR&D*, Vol. 48, No. t, 2000, pp, 45-68 ISSN 1042-t629.
- Lattimer, Heather. & Riordan, Robert. (2011). Project-based learning engage students in meaningful work: Students at High Tech Middle engage in project-based learning. *Middle School Journal*, Vol 43, No.2 pp 18-23.
- Lawshe, C.H. (1975). *A Quantitative Approach to Content Validity*. *Journal Personnel Psychology*. Hlm 563-575.
- Lawson, Anton E., (1989). *A theory of Instruction: Using the Learning Cycle to Teach Science Concepts and Thinking Skill*. Ohio, 1989. National Association for Research Science Teaching.
- Lead States. 2013. *Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By States*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Lemke, J. L. (1990). *Talking Science: Language, Learning, and Values*, Norwood NJ: Ablex.
- Littke, Heather. (2015). *Structured Inquiry Learning and generative Discourse in Middle school*. Archive: Evergreen.edu
- Mansour, N. 2015. Science Teachers' Views and Stereotypes of Religion, Scientists and Scientific Research: A call for scientist–science teacher partnerships to promote inquiry-based learning. *International Journal of Science Education* Vol. 37, No. 11, 1767–1794,
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1049575>

- Markham, Thom. (2013). The Challenges and Realities of Inquiry-based Learning. *On Cue Journal Educate Innovate Explore, Fall 2013, Vol. 35, No.3*
- Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A. M. (2009). *Analisis data kualitatif, buku sumber tentang metode-metode baru*. Jakarta: UI Press.
- Moore, T. J. et al. 2014. NGSS and the Landscape of Engineering in K-12 State Science Standards. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*.
- Mortimer, E. F., & Scott, P. H. (2003). *Meaning Making in secondary Science Classroom*. Buckingham UK: Open University Press.
- NGSS Parent Guide. (2013). *Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By states*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Nariman, Nahid. (2014). *Problem-based Science Inquiry: Challenges and Possibilities for Adressing 21st Century Skill*. Dissertation. United States: UMI Dissertation Publishing
- National Research Council. 2012. *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. 2013. *Monitoring Progress Toward Successful K-12 STEM Education: A Nation Advancing?* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. 2014. *Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. 2015. *Guide to Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

- Nitko, A. J. & Brookhart, S. M. (2007). *Educational assessment of students*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Penuel, W. R., Harris, C. J. & DeBarger, A. H. 2014. Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards: Strategies for Educational Leaders. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 96, 45-49.
- Purwanto. (2008). *Administrasi & supervisi Pendidikan*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Quinn, H., Lee, O., & Valdés, G. (2012, January). Language demands and opportunities in relation to Next Generation Science Standards for English language learners: What teachers need to know. Paper presented at the Understanding Language Conference, Stanford, CA.
- Reiser, B. J. 2013. What Professional Development Strategies Are Needed for Successful Implementation of the Next Generation Science Standards? Invitational Research Symposium on Science Assessment.
- Riyanto, H. Y., (2009). *Paradigma baru pembelajaran: sebagai referensi bagi guru/pendidik dalam implementasi pembelajaran yang efektif dan berkualitas*. Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Sahlberg, P. 2006. Education Reform for Raising Economic Competitiveness. *Journal of Educational Change*.
- Santoso, Singgih. (2010). *Statistik Parametrik, Konsep dan Aplikasi dengan SPSS*. Jakarta: Elex Media Komputindo.
- Sever, Demet dan Meral Guven. 2014. Effect of Inquiry-based Learning Approach on Student Resistance in a Science and Technology Course. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice* 14(4) 1601-1605 ©2014

Educational Consultancy and Research Center www.edam.com.tr/estp

DOI: 10.12738/estp.2014.4.1919

- Shore, B. M., Aulls, M. W. & Delcourt, M. A. B. (Eds.). (2008). *Inquiry in education (Vol. II): Overcoming barriers to successful implementation*. New York: Routledge.
- Slameto. 2010. *Belajar dan Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhinya*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Sudjana, Nana. 2002. *Metode Statistika*. Bandung: PT Tarsito Bandung.
- Sudjana. (2005). *Metoda statistika*. Bandung: Tarsito.
- Suprijono, Agus. 2010. *Cooperative Learning*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Swanson, L. H., Bianchini, J A., Lee, J. S. 2013. Engaging in Argument and Communicating Information: A Case Study of English Language Learners and Their Science Teacher in an Urban High School. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 51, 31–64.
- Thiagarajan, S; Semmel, D.S; & Semmel, M.I. (1974). *Instructional Development for Training Teachers of Exceptional Children: A Sourcebook*. Indiana: Indiana University.
- Trianto. (2013). *Model-model Pembelajaran inovati Berbasis Konstruktivistik*. Jakarta: Prestasi pustaka Publisher
- Valdés, G. (2012, January). Summary comments. Notes presented at the Understanding Language Conference. Stanford, CA.
- Windschitl, M. , Thompson, J., & Braaten, M. (2008). Beyond the scientific method: Model-based Inquiry as a new Paradigm of Preference for School Science Investigations. *Science Education*, 92 (5), 941-967

