



Development and Evaluation of an Adaptive Hypermedia
System Based on Multiple Student Characteristics

A thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

By

Herman Dwi Surjono

B.Sc. (Hons), M.Sc., M.Eng.

School of Multimedia and Information Technology

Southern Cross University, Australia

March 2006

Declaration

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been previously submitted for a degree at any other university or institution. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and references of the thesis.

Herman Dwi Surjono

Abstract

Adaptive Educational Hypermedia systems (AEH) are amongst the most recent types of application to provide individualised instruction to students who undertake online courses. Such systems attempt to adapt to how individuals learn by personalizing instruction for each individual student depending upon one or more “characteristics” of the student. Prior knowledge and learning style have been identified as being prominent characteristics in this process but AEH systems implemented to date have generally been limited to only employing one of these characteristics. Such systems have also been limited in that they are specific to a particular course content and cannot be easily adapted to present different learning materials.

This thesis describes the development and evaluation of a new AEH system that provides a generic template for different learning materials as well as a student model that incorporates five distinct student characteristics as an aid to learning: primary characteristics are *prior knowledge*, *learning style* and the presence or absence of animated multimedia aids (*multimedia mode*); secondary characteristics include *page background preference* and *link colour preference*. The use of multimedia artefacts as a student characteristic (and hence as an independent variable in this study) has not previously been implemented or evaluated. A separate non-AEH system, identical to the AEH system except for the absence of adaptation to individuals, was developed in parallel as a control.

The system development consists of a requirements analysis, design and implementation. The design models including use case diagrams, conceptual design, sequence diagrams, navigation design and presentation design are expressed using

Unified Modelling Language (UML). The AEH system which was developed in a generic template implemented using Java Servlets, XHTML, XML, JavaScript and HTML. The generic template is a domain-independent AEH system that has functions of both *adaptivity* and *adaptability*.

The system was evaluated in an experimental research involving 67 undergraduate engineering students in the Department of Electronics at Yogyakarta State University. The learning material of Analogue Electronics was implemented into both the AEH system and non-AEH systems under seven chapter headings. The participants were randomly divided into an experimental group and a control group. During the 9 weeks of experimentation, the students studied the learning material in two randomly allocated groups, an experimental group using the AEH system and a control group using the non-AEH system. A pre-test was administered to measure initial student knowledge. The student achievement was measured at the end of each chapter of material using a chapter test and at the end of the experimentation as a whole using a post-test. Basic statistical analysis of t-test and Mann-Whitney U were conducted to investigate any difference of student achievement between the two groups. A further detailed analysis using multilevel modelling was conducted to investigate any possible effects of the adaptive parameters on the student achievement.

A total of 7 hypotheses were tested during data analysis. Research findings are described as follows. Students who learned using the AEH system performed better significantly than those who learned using the NON-AEH system. The implementation of test repetition as a function of knowledge adaptation in the AEH system increased student achievement significantly. This was found to be the prominent effect. When the effect of test repetition was removed, the implementation of learning style and multimedia mode adaptation in the AEH system was still found

to have significant effect upon student performance. Students whose learning style and multimedia preferences were matched with the system (AEH or non-AEH) achieved better results. In terms of the relative merit of each contributing factor toward a student's achievement, the order of the effects was found to be (1) knowledge, (2) multimedia, and (3) learning style. Whilst repeated knowledge testing is an established cause of improved performance, the positive effects on student performance of using multimedia artefacts over choice of learning style is a new finding.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. John Maltby for his guidance, support and excellent supervision throughout the period of my study. I would like to thank Dr. Lyndon Brooks for his advice on statistical analysis. I would also like to thank Professor San Murugesan for previewing my thesis draft.

I would like to thank the Graduate Research College and staff for providing the International Postgraduate Research Scholarship, SCU Postgraduate Research Scholarship and conference support.

I would also like to thank all staff of the School of Multimedia and Information Technology and the International Office for their support.

I would also like to thank Rector of the Yogyakarta State University, Dean of the Engineering College, Head of the Electronics Department and all staff for their support.

I also thank my wife, Ida, and my son, Taufiq, for their continued encouragement, love, and understanding.

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Declaration.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables	xv
List of Appendices.....	xvii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Purpose of the Study	6
1.3. Research Questions and Hypotheses	8
1.4. Contributions of Study.....	11
CHAPTER 2: TECHNOLOGY BASED LEARNING	13
2.1. Introduction.....	13
2.2. Computer Uses in Education	14
2.3. E-Learning	19
2.4. Computer Assisted Instruction	25
2.5. Web Based Instruction.....	30
2.6. Research Review of TBL Effectiveness	36
2.7. Summary	40
CHAPTER 3: ADAPTIVE HYPERMEDIA SYSTEM	42
3.1. Introduction.....	42
3.2. Intelligent Tutoring System	43

3.3. Hypertext and Hypermedia.....	47
3.4. Adaptive Hypermedia.....	49
3.5. Problems of Existing AEH Systems	54
3.6. Student Modelling	59
3.7. Student Characteristics	66
3.8. Research Review of AHS Effectiveness.....	76
3.9. Summary	78
CHAPTER 4: AEH DESCRIPTION	80
4.1. Introduction.....	80
4.2. AEH System Architecture	81
4.3. AEH Software Architecture.....	83
4.4. Adaptation Technique.....	86
4.5. Adaptation and Teaching Rules.....	89
4.6. The AEH System for Analogue Electronics	90
4.7. Running the AEH System.....	101
4.8. Summary	103
CHAPTER 5: AEH DEVELOPMENT	104
5.1. Introduction.....	104
5.2. Requirements Analysis	105
5.3. Use Case Diagrams.....	110
5.4. Conceptual Design.....	114
5.5. Sequence Diagrams	115
5.6. Navigation Design	131
5.7. Presentation Design	134
5.8. Software Implementation.....	140
5.9. Summary	156

CHAPTER 6: AEH EVALUATION.....	158
6.1. Introduction.....	158
6.2. Experimental Research	159
6.2.1. Research Design.....	159
6.2.2. Experimental Treatment.....	161
6.2.3. Participants in the Study.....	165
6.2.4. Instrument.....	166
6.2.5. Procedures	169
6.3. Data Analysis.....	173
6.3.1. Basic Analysis	173
6.3.2. Multilevel Analysis	178
6.4. Summary of Hypothesis Testing	233
CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....	239
7.1. Discussion.....	239
7.1.1. System Development.....	240
7.1.2. Experimental Research.....	244
7.1.3. Main Effect.....	245
7.1.4. Knowledge Adaptation.....	247
7.1.5. Learning Style and Multimedia Adaptation	249
7.1.6. Learning Style and Multimedia Suitability	251
7.2. Conclusions.....	253
7.2.1. Conclusions of the Study.....	253
7.2.2. Limitations of the Study	259
7.2.3. Contributions of the Study	260
7.2.4. Recommendations	261
References.....	264
Appendices.....	281

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Classification of computer uses in education	19
Figure 2.2: Classification of distance learning	21
Figure 2.3: A typical tutorial flowchart	27
Figure 2.4: A typical drill and practice flowchart.....	28
Figure 2.5: A typical simulation method flowchart	29
Figure 3.1: Interactions of components in an intelligent tutoring system.....	46
Figure 3.2: Adaptation process in the AHS	51
Figure 3.3: Approach to student modelling	61
Figure 3.4: The concept of overlay student model	63
Figure 3.5: The concept of perturbation model	64
Figure 4.1: The AEH system architecture.....	81
Figure 4.2: The AEH software architecture	84
Figure 4.3: The <i>conditional inclusion of pages</i> method	86
Figure 4.4: The <i>conditional inclusion of links</i> method	87
Figure 4.5: The <i>link hiding</i> method.....	88
Figure 4.6: The <i>link expansion</i> method.....	89
Figure 4.7: A diagram illustrating the adaptation and teaching rules	90
Figure 4.8: A screenshot of a text editor opening the edited file.....	95
Figure 4.9: A screenshot of p-type semiconductor flash animation	95
Figure 4.10: A screenshot of n-type semiconductor flash animation	96
Figure 4.11: A screenshot of half-wave rectifier flash animation	97
Figure 4.12: A screenshot of full-wave rectifier flash animation	97
Figure 4.13: A screenshot of bridge-system rectifier flash animation.....	98

Figure 4.14: A screenshot of equivalent CE circuit flash animation	99
Figure 4.15: A screenshot of equivalent CE-RE circuit flash animation.....	99
Figure 4.16: A screenshot of CE amplifier analysis flash animation	100
Figure 4.17: Introduction page of the AEH system for Analogue Electronics.....	101
Figure 4.18: A global mode page of the AEH system for Analogue Electronics.....	102
Figure 4.19: A sequential mode page of the AEH system for Analogue Electronics	102
Figure 5.1: Use case diagram for <i>SystemAccess</i>	111
Figure 5.2: Use case diagram for <i>LearningCentre</i>	112
Figure 5.3: Use case diagram for <i>StudentModel</i>	112
Figure 5.4: Use case diagram for <i>DomainModel</i>	113
Figure 5.5: Use case diagram for <i>AdaptationModel</i>	113
Figure 5.6: Conceptual model of the AEH system	114
Figure 5.7: Sequence diagram for <i>Questioner</i> (sequence number 1-18)	116
Figure 5.8: Sequence diagram for <i>Questioner</i> (sequence number 19-35)	117
Figure 5.9: Sequence diagram for <i>Questioner</i> (sequence number 36-50)	118
Figure 5.10: Sequence diagram for <i>Questioner</i> (sequence number 51-65)	119
Figure 5.11: Sequence diagram for <i>Questioner</i> (sequence number 66-74)	120
Figure 5.12: Sequence diagram for <i>LearningStyles</i> (sequence number 1-19).....	121
Figure 5.13: Sequence diagram for <i>LearningStyles</i> (sequence number 20-34).....	122
Figure 5.14: Sequence diagram for <i>LearningStyles</i> (sequence number 35-48).....	123
Figure 5.15: Sequence diagram for <i>Multimedia</i> (sequence number 1-17).....	124
Figure 5.16: Sequence diagram for <i>Multimedia</i> (sequence number 18-31).....	125
Figure 5.17: Sequence diagram for <i>Multimedia</i> (sequence number 32-45).....	126
Figure 5.18: Sequence diagram for <i>ChangeLS</i> (sequence number 1-20)	127
Figure 5.19: Sequence diagram for <i>ChangeLS</i> (sequence number 21-40)	128

Figure 5.20: Sequence diagram for <i>ChangeMM</i> (sequence number 1-20).....	129
Figure 5.21: Sequence diagram for <i>ChangeMM</i> (sequence number 22-41).....	130
Figure 5.22: Navigation model of <i>Studying materials</i>	132
Figure 5.23: Navigation model of <i>Changing learning mode</i>	133
Figure 5.24: Navigation model of <i>Changing knowledge level</i>	134
Figure 5.25: Navigation model of <i>Changing other profiles</i>	134
Figure 5.26: User interface objects	135
Figure 5.27: User interface view of the <i>Access</i> page	136
Figure 5.28: User interface view of the <i>Welcome</i> page	136
Figure 5.29: User interface view of the <i>Global-Multimedia</i> page	137
Figure 5.30: User interface view of the <i>Global-nonMultimedia</i> page	138
Figure 5.31: User interface view of the <i>Sequential-Multimedia</i> page	139
Figure 5.32: User interface view of the <i>Sequential-nonMultimedia</i> page	140
Figure 5.33: Access page of the AEH generic template	140
Figure 5.34: Welcome page of the AEH generic template	141
Figure 5.35: Welcome page presenting questionnaires	142
Figure 5.36: Welcome page presenting questionnaire results	145
Figure 5.37: <i>LS</i> setting before completing questionnaires.....	145
Figure 5.38: <i>LS</i> setting after completing questionnaires.....	146
Figure 5.39: Confirmation page for changing the <i>ls</i> setting	146
Figure 5.40: <i>MM</i> setting before completing questionnaires	147
Figure 5.41: <i>MM</i> setting after completing questionnaires	147
Figure 5.42: Confirmation page for changing the <i>mm</i> setting	147
Figure 5.43: Introduction page to learning activities	148
Figure 5.44: Material page with <i>Global-Multimedia</i> learning mode	149

Figure 5.45: Material page with <i>Global-nonMultimedia</i> learning mode	150
Figure 5.46: Material page with <i>Sequential-nonMultimedia</i> learning mode	151
Figure 5.47: Material page with <i>Sequential-Multimedia</i> learning mode	151
Figure 5.48: Navigation buttons for multimedia features	152
Figure 5.49: Header of the material page.....	152
Figure 5.50: Generic test presentation	153
Figure 5.51: Feedback page after completing the test	154
Figure 5.52: Student's knowledge profile.....	154
Figure 5.53: Page background setting.....	155
Figure 5.54: Colour links setting	156
Figure 6.1: A randomized pretest-posttest control group design.....	159
Figure 6.2: Box plot graph for four categories of variables.....	174
Figure 6.3: Test score means at seven chapters for AEH and NON-AEH	182
Figure 6.4: Test score means at repeated measures for AEH and NON-AEH	183
Figure 6.5: Test score means based on Learning Style within AEH and NON-AEH	184
Figure 6.6: Test score means based on Multimedia within AEH and NON-AEH	185
Figure 6.7: Tests for the optimum model comparing AEH and NON-AEH	190
Figure 6.8: Comparison of score means between AEH and NON-AEH.....	191
Figure 6.9: Tests for score comparisons between AEH and NON-AEH at each repeat	192
Figure 6.10: Tests for the optimum model comparing AEH ($ls = 0$; $mm = 0$) and NON-AEH	198
Figure 6.11: Comparison of score means between AEH ($ls = 0$; $mm = 0$) and NON- AEH	199

Figure 6.12: Test for score comparisons between AEH ($ls = 0$; $mm = 0$) and NON-AEH	200
Figure 6.13: Tests for the optimum model with only repeat 0 data	206
Figure 6.14: Comparison of first try scores between AEH and NON-AEH.....	206
Figure 6.15: Tests for first try score comparisons between groups at each chapter ..	208
Figure 6.16: Tests for the optimum model within NON-AEH group.....	215
Figure 6.17: Comparison of score means for suited/unsuited learning mode in NON-AEH	215
Figure 6.18: Tests for score comparisons for suited/unsuited learning mode in NON-AEH	217
Figure 6.19: Tests for the optimum model within AEH group.....	223
Figure 6.20: Comparison of score means for suited/unsuited learning mode in AEH	224
Figure 6.21: Tests for score comparisons for suited/unsuited learning mode in AEH	225
Figure 6.22: Tests for the optimum model of suited students between groups	230
Figure 6.23: Comparison of score means between suited students in AEH and NON-AEH	230
Figure 6.24: Test for score comparisons between suited students in AEH and NON-AEH	231

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Advantages and disadvantages of e-learning	23
Table 2.2: Comparison of <i>Blackboard</i> , <i>TopClass</i> and <i>WebCT</i>	25
Table 2.3: Characteristics of effective CAI	30
Table 2.4: A summary of research review of TBL effectiveness	40
Table 3.1: Classification of adaptive hypermedia system	54
Table 3.2: Existing adaptive educational hypermedia systems	55
Table 4.1: A list of template file names to be edited	94
Table 5.1: Possible questionnaire results for <i>ls</i> dimension	143
Table 5.2: Possible questionnaire results for <i>mm</i> dimension	144
Table 5.3: Four possibilities of learning modes in the AEH system	149
Table 6.1: Comparison of the AEH and NON-AEH systems.....	163
Table 6.2: Table of specification for constructing the achievement test	167
Table 6.3: Student activity during the experimentation.....	169
Table 6.4: Tests of normality for pre-test and post-test scores	175
Table 6.5: The Man-Whitney test for the difference of the gain score means.....	176
Table 6.6: The t-test result for comparing the pre-test scores.....	177
Table 6.7: The Man-Whitney test for the difference of post-test score means.....	178
Table 6.8: Multilevel data structure	180
Table 6.9: Test score statistic at seven chapters for AEH and non-AEH	181
Table 6.10: Test score statistic at repeated measures for AEH and NON-AEH.....	182
Table 6.11: Test score statistic based on Learning Style within AEH and NON-AEH	183
Table 6.12: Test score statistic based on Multimedia within AEH and NON-AEH..	184

Table 6.13: A format of dataset inputted to MLwiN (version 2).....	186
Table 6.14: Results of multilevel analysis between groups over repetition	190
Table 6.15: Results of multilevel analysis between groups with $ls = 0$; $mm = 0$	197
Table 6.16: Results of multilevel analysis between groups with repeat 0 only	205
Table 6.17: Results of multilevel analysis within NON-AEH.....	214
Table 6.18: Results of multilevel analysis within AEH.....	222
Table 6.19: Results of multilevel analysis between groups with comparable variables	229
Table 7.1: A comparison of the developed AEH system with other existing systems	254
Table 7.2: A summary of the experimental research findings	257

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Publication.....	282
Appendix B: Student Consent Form.....	292
Appendix C: HREC Approval	294
Appendix D: Letter of Authority	298
Appendix E: Achievement Tests	300
Appendix F: Questionnaires	323
Appendix G: Source Codes.....	326
Appendix H: Sequence Diagrams	344